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Course / Learning Objectives

• Upon completion, participants will be able to identify main types of public/private financing and will be able to access tools and resources to evaluate them.

• Upon completion, participants will be able to describe pros and cons of P3 development for diverse building types and sectors and will have made connections to other design professionals from whose P3 experiences they can learn.

• At the end of this workshop, participants will have helped establish criteria for evaluating public/private partnerships and for defining the role of design professionals in these partnerships.

• Upon completion, participants will be have information needed to shape P3 decision-making, engaging both proponents and opponents of proposals in creating the highest quality and best value public realm.
Agenda

• Introductions – Why are you here?
• Why do alternative project delivery methods matter?
• Trends disrupting traditional project delivery
• Lessons learned and where to look for
Take the Poll!

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/7V2W5RR

Results will be presented during this workshop
Introductions

1. Introduce yourself
2. Tell your neighbors why are you here
3. Answer the first questions on the poll
What is P3?

- Build-Finance (BF)
- Design-Build-Finance (DBF)
- Design-Build-Finance-Maintain (DBFM)
- Design-Build-Finance-Maintain-Operate (DBFMO)
Who’s Doing P3?

UI partners with developer to rebuild art museum downtown

The new University of Iowa Museum of Art will be built on a prime corner in downtown Iowa City through a public-private partnership with H+H Development Group and Mortenson Inc., UI announced Wednesday.

The museum will be constructed at the southeast corner of Burlington and Clinton streets, a site known as Hieronymus Square that has sat empty for years. Various large-scale projects for the site have been put forth over the years, but each were ultimately abandoned.

"This is an open lot that is primed for redevelopment by the local landowner, and sits directly east of the current school of music project," said Rod Lehnertz, UI director of planning, design and construction.

Sean O'Harrow, director of the UI Museum of Art, also praised the future museum's location, citing its nearby highway access, ample parking and public exposure. The location is across the street from the future Voxman Music Building, which is under construction and expected to open in 2016.

"It's one of the few locations that's accessible to both students and the public," O'Harrow said. "There are a lot of locations that can be accessible to students but not the public and vice versa."

Source: Iowa City Press-Citizen
Why Do Alternative Project Delivery Methods Matter?

1. Diminishing owner-sourced funding
2. Privatization pressures/increasing third party funding availability
3. Perceived speed to market and more cost-efficient design
4. Opportunities arising from Revit/BIM
Why are They Happening?

• In FY 2012, 42 states had budget shortfalls totaling $103 billion...to try to close these gaps, 46 states were forced to cut services

• In a 2011 National League of Cities survey, 60 percent of cities said they delayed or canceled capital projects that year due to fiscal conditions

State Funding Decreases - Higher Ed

Source: Diminishing Funding and Rising Expectations: Trends and Challenges for Public Research Universities, National Science Board, 2012

FIGURE 2: Student Enrollment in Major Public Research Universities, 1994 to 2009

FIGURE 6: State Appropriations as a Percentage of Public Research Universities Total Operating Revenue, 1992 to 2010
Increasing Third Party Funding Availability

Comparing Average REIT Returns and Stocks over Long Periods

Source: NAREIT www.reit.com

Average Annualized Total Returns over Rolling 30-Year Periods

Average Annualized Total Returns over Rolling 10-Year Periods

PHILAIADELPHIA!
AIA Convention 2016
May 19–21, Philadelphia
Publicly Traded Funding for Real Estate Investment – March 2016

• FTSE NAREIT All REITs Equity Market Capitalization = $984 billion
• 220 REITs in the FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index
• 194 REITs Trade on the New York Stock Exchange = $931 billion
• Does Not Include Private Equity

Source: NAREIT (https://www.reit.com)
Public REITs and REOCs Expressly Investing in Institutional

- Research buildings - Alexandria RE $10.9 billion
- Student housing - ACC and EdR $8.54 billion
- Correctional facilities - CCA $3.573 billion
- Government buildings $2.795 billion
  Easterly and Government Properties
- Infrastructure $754,590,000
  Hannon Armstrong Sustainable Infrastructure Capital
- Also in Healthcare
- FTSE NAREIT – All REITs $984 billion

Source: NAREIT (https://www.reit.com/investing/investor-resources/reit-directories/reits-by-ticker-symbol) and company websites
Five Recently Formed ULI P3 Product Councils

- Public Development and Infrastructure Council
- Public/Private Partnership Council (Two)
- Student Housing Council
- University Development & Innovation Council

Source: Urban Land Institute (www.uli.org)
Completed Transportation Design-Build Projects

600% More Projects Since 2002

140 as of 2002

1,000+ as of 2016

Source: Design-Build Today: A Survey of State DOTs, Design-Build Institute of America, 2016
### COMPARISON OF PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>DESIGN-BUILD VS. DESIGN-BID-BUILD</th>
<th>DESIGN-BUILD vs. CM@R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNIT COST</td>
<td>6.1% lower</td>
<td>4.5% lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION SPEED</td>
<td>12% faster</td>
<td>7% faster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELIVERY SPEED</td>
<td>33.5% faster</td>
<td>23.5% faster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST GROWTH</td>
<td>5.2% less</td>
<td>12.6% less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULE GROWTH</td>
<td>11.4% less</td>
<td>2.2% less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Design-Build Institute of America / Construction Industry Institute
Perceived Speed to Market + More Cost-Efficient Design

Figure 1: Public sector cost of project delivery

New Advances in BIM and Digital Fabrication = Speed to Market
Potential Disruptions and Benefits

1. What will be customary architectural services?
2. How will relationships between owner, architect and builder be affected? (BIM, legal structures, incentives, extended post-construction relationships)
3. How do we ensure accountability?
4. What will be the effect on institutional planning, design and facilities management groups?
State DOTs Like Design-Build

Of respondents, 87% have design-build authority and 62% have a centralized design-build office with dedicated staff for design-build.

Lessons Learned

1. Biggest Benefits
2. Most Common Mistakes

Finding Guidance

3. Available Sources
2. Questions to Ask

(Show poll results)
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